

## GROUP THEORY 2024 - 25, SOLUTION SHEET 2

### Exercise 1. Warm up exercise

- (1) Given an edge  $\{i, j\} \in X$  one observes that there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in S_4$  such that  $\sigma \cdot \{1, 2\} = \{i, j\}$ . Therefore the orbit of  $\{1, 2\}$  is the set  $X$  itself.
- (2) If a permutation in  $S_4$  stabilises  $\{1, 2\}$  then it must permute the indices 1 and 2. Therefore we have

$$\text{Stab}_{S_4}(\{1, 2\}) = \{e, (12), (34), (12)(34)\}.$$

- (3) Indeed,

$$|S_4 \cdot \{1, 2\}| |\text{Stab}_{S_4}(\{1, 2\})| = |6| \cdot |4| = 24 = |S_4|.$$

### Exercise 2. Action on cosets

Denote the map  $G \times G/H \rightarrow G/H$  by  $\Phi$ . Denote by  $\Phi_g$  the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(g, -) : G/H &\rightarrow G/H \\ aH &\mapsto gaH \end{aligned}$$

- (1)
  - First, since we defined  $\Phi_g$  using a specific representation  $aH$  of a coset, we need to show that  $\Phi_g$  is well defined. We must show that for all  $a, b \in G$  such that  $aH = bH$ , their images satisfy  $\Phi_g(aH) = \Phi_g(bH)$ . This holds because

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_g(aH) &= (ga)H = \{(ga)h \mid h \in H\} \\ &= \{g(ah) \mid h \in H\} \\ &= \{g(bh') \mid h' \in H\} \\ &= \{(gb)h' \mid h' \in H\} \\ &= (gb)H = \Phi_g(bH) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the hypothesis  $aH = bH$  on the third line.

- We observe that  $\phi_g \circ \phi_{g^{-1}} = \text{Id}_{G/H}$  and  $\phi_{g^{-1}} \circ \phi_g = \text{Id}_{G/H}$ , which proves that  $\Phi_g$  is bijective with inverse  $(\phi_g)^{-1} = \phi_{g^{-1}}$ , as desired.
- Finally, we prove that for all  $g, g' \in G$ , we have  $\Phi_{gg'} = \Phi_g \circ \Phi_{g'}$ . This is a straightforward computation:  $\Phi_{gg'}(aH) = gg'aH = \Phi_g(g'aH) = (\Phi_g \circ \Phi_{g'})(aH)$ .

(2) By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Stab}_G(gH) &= \{g' \in G \mid \Phi_{g'}(gH) = gH\} \\
&= \{g' \in G \mid g'gH = gH\} \\
&= \{g' \in G \mid g^{-1}g'gH = H\} \\
&= \{g' \in G \mid g^{-1}g'g \in H\} \\
&= \{g' \in G \mid g' \in gHg^{-1}\} = gHg^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 3.** Suppose first that  $K$  and  $H$  are conjugate subgroups of  $G$  with  $K = gHg^{-1}$  for some fixed  $g \in G$ . We define a homomorphism of  $G$ -sets as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi : G/K &\rightarrow G/H \\
aK &\mapsto agH.
\end{aligned}$$

Like in the previous exercise, we must show that  $\varphi$  is well defined. To this end, suppose that  $aK = bK$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $b^{-1}a \in K$ . We must show  $agH = bgH$ . This is equivalent to  $g^{-1}b^{-1}ag \in H$ , which holds since  $b^{-1}a \in K$  and  $g^{-1}Kg = H$ . Moreover it is a morphism of  $G$ -sets since

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(g' \cdot aK) &= \varphi(g'aK) = (g'ag)H \\
&= g' \cdot (agH) = g' \cdot \varphi(aK).
\end{aligned}$$

We define now its inverse

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi : G/H &\rightarrow G/K \\
aH &\mapsto ag^{-1}K.
\end{aligned}$$

Using a similar argument, we can show that  $\psi$  is well defined and that it is a morphism of  $G$ -sets. It is clear that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are inverse of each other, proving the claim.

Conversely, suppose that  $\phi : G/H \rightarrow G/K$  is an isomorphism of  $G$ -sets. There exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\phi(H) = gK$ . We aim to show that  $K = gHg^{-1}$ . Since  $\phi$  is well defined, we have that

$$gK = \phi(H) = \phi(h^{-1}H) = h^{-1}\phi(H) = h^{-1}gK$$

for all  $h \in H$ . Hence  $ghg^{-1} \in K$  for all  $h \in H$  and so  $gHg^{-1} \subseteq K$ . On the other hand  $\phi(H) = gK$  implies that  $\phi^{-1}(K) = g^{-1}H$ . Using the same argument for  $\phi^{-1}$  and  $g^{-1}$  we obtain that  $g^{-1}Kg \subseteq H$  which shows that  $K = gHg^{-1}$ .  $\square$ .

**Exercise 4.** (1) We define a map  $\Phi : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(X)$  by  $g \mapsto \Phi_g$ , where  $\Phi_g(W) = g(W), \forall W \in X$ . Firstly, let us prove that the map is well defined, i.e. that for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\Phi_g$  is a bijection on  $X$ . Indeed, as  $g$  is an automorphism, there exists  $g' \in G$  such that  $g \circ g' = g' \circ g = e_G$ , where  $e_G$  is the neutral element of  $G$ , i.e.  $e_G = \text{id}_V$ . We can then check that  $\Phi_g \circ \Phi_{g'} = \Phi_{g'} \circ \Phi_g = \text{id}_X$  to conclude that  $\Phi_g$  is a bijection. It is straightforward to check that  $\Phi_{\text{id}_V} = \text{id}_X$ . Similarly,  $\forall g, g' \in G$  and  $\forall W \in X$ ,  $(g \circ g')(W) = g(g'(W))$  by definition of compositions of maps, which gives us  $\Phi_{gg'} = \Phi_g \circ \Phi_{g'}$ . We conclude that  $\Phi$  is an action

- (2) Let us prove that for any  $W, W' \in X$ , there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g(W) = W'$  to conclude that the action is transitive. Consider  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  a basis for  $W$  that we complete into a basis  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  for  $V$ . Similarly, let  $\{w_1, w_2\}$  a basis for  $W'$  that we complete into a basis  $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  for  $V$ . The linear map defined by  $g : V \rightarrow V$ ,  $v_1 \mapsto w_1$ ,  $v_2 \mapsto w_2$ ,  $v_3 \mapsto w_3$  is surjective and thus bijective (so an isomorphism) by the kernel-image theorem. It is clear by its definition that  $g(W) = W'$ , so we are done.
- (3) By point (2),  $\forall W \in X, |O_W| = |X|$ . Fix a such  $W$ . We know that  $|O_W| = \frac{|G|}{|Stab_G(W)|}$ . Moreover, we know that  $G$  is the subgroup of invertible matrices of  $M_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$  and thus that  $|G| = (2^3 - 1)(2^3 - 2)(2^3 - 4) = 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 = 168$  (you have seen this in linear algebra). Let us now find  $|Stab_G(W)|$  to conclude. Consider  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  a basis for  $W$  that we complete into a basis  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  for  $V$ . If  $g \in G$  fixes  $W$ , we must have  $g(v_1), g(v_2) \in W$  linearly independent. There are 6 such choices. Now, the choices of defining such a linear isomorphism  $g$  when  $g(v_1)$  and  $g(v_2)$  are fixed come down to the choices of the image of  $v_3$  such that it is not contained in  $W$ . There are  $|V| - |W| = 4$  of these, so we conclude that  $|Stab_G(W)| = 6 \cdot 4 = 24$  and that  $|X| = \frac{168}{24} = 7$ .

**Exercise 5.** (1) The orbit-stabiliser theorem gives:

$$|G \cdot 1| |Stab_G(1)| = |G|.$$

Since the action is transitive, there is only one orbit of the action and hence  $|G \cdot 1| = n$ . Therefore  $n \mid |G|$ .

- (2) We leave it to the reader to check that conjugation defines an action on the set of subgroups of  $G$ .

We have that

$$Stab_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}.$$

The above group  $Stab_G(H)$  is known as the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ , often denoted by  $N_G(H)$ . Note that  $N_G(H)$  is the largest subgroup of  $G$  which contains  $H$  as a normal subgroup.

**Exercise 6.** We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that for all  $x \in X$ , there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g \cdot x \neq x$ . This precisely mean that all stabilizers  $Stab_G(x)$  are strict subgroups of  $G$ , and thus have cardinality  $|Stab_G(x)| = p^{k_x} < p^n$  for some  $0 \leq k_x < n$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a set of

representatives of the orbits. By a formula seen in class we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &= \sum_{x \in \tilde{X}} \frac{|G|}{|\text{Stab}_G(x)|} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \tilde{X}} \frac{p^n}{p^{k_x}} \\ &= p \cdot \sum_{x \in \tilde{X}} \frac{p^{n-1}}{p^{k_x}} \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $p \mid |X|$ . This contradicts the hypothesis and thus there must exist  $x \in X$  such that its stabilizer is  $G$ , i.e. such that  $g \cdot x = x$  for all  $g \in G$ .